

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

**THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR**

PRESENT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

WRIT PETITION No.13267 of 1995

Between:

P.V.S.Subrahmanyam,

S/o. Late Sri Surapur Raju,
Kuyyeru, Kajuluru Mandal,
East Godavari.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 P.Venkata Ratnam,

S/o. Kameswara Rao.

C/o.Sri Pothala Subba Rao, (Advocate),

Kakinada.

2 P.Sesha Sankara Krishna Prabhakar Rao,

S/o. Kameswara Rao.

C/o Sri Pothala Subba Rao, (Advocate),

Kakinada.

3 P.Satyanarayana,

S/o. Kameswara Rao.

C/o Sri Pothala Subba Rao, (Advocate),

Kakinada.

4 Smt. P.Satya Saraswathi,

W/o. Venkata Krishna Rao,
Kuyyeru, Kajuluru Mandal,
East Godavari.

5 Smt.V.Satya Savitri,

W/o. Kameswara Rao.
Kuyyeru, Kajuluru Mandal,
East Godavari.

6 III Additional District Judge,
Kakinada.

.....RESPONDENTS

(R-4 & R-5 dismissed for default vide court order dated
21-06-2001)

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any appropriate writ or direction or order more particularly a writ of certiorari calling for the records on the file of the

III Additional District Judge, Kakinada pertaining to the A.T.A.No. 10/91 dated 29/03/1993 confirming the orders of the Special Officer cum
Prl. District Munsif, Kakinada dated 30/08/1990 I.A.S.R.No.1134/90 in
A.T.C.No.71/83 and quash the same.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. DANTU.SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: Mr.T.BHEEMSEN & T.V.PRANAICKUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.6: None appeared

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have compromised the subject-matter of the writ petition. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

12-08-2004

bsc

To

- 1. III Additional District Judge,
Kakinada.
- 2. 2CD copies